UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/822,764	04/13/2004	Wataru Sugiura	01-596	2814
23400 POSZ LAW GI	7590 04/25/2007 ROUP PLC	EXAMINER		
12040 SOUTH	LAKES DRIVE	NGUYEN, CUONG H		
SUITE 101 RESTON, VA	20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3661	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/822,764	SUGIURA, WATARU	SUGIURA, WATARU		
Examiner	Art Unit			
CUONG H. NGUYEN	3661			

	İ	CUONG H. NGUYEN	3661	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE RI	EPLY FILED <u>05 April 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
th p a	ne reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on is application, applicant must timely file one of the follow aces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance me periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) 🔀 b) 🗀	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
nave be under 3 set forth may red	ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later uce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) E OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
fi a	he Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comping the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extended Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed DMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
(a	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
	 They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beto) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(0	They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. □ ·	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
_	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	·		
6. 🔲	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a on-allowable claim(s).	· ——	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
h T	for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ow the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro he status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an o	explanation of
C	claim(s) objected to: claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 4-9</u> .			
	laim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	1		
3. □ T b	he affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
е	he affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to on howing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.
11. 🛚	The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	n	
_			Cuonahngh CUONG H. NGWY Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3661	ren en

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: On page 5, (paper 4/05/07), the applicant says that Figs. 3-4 are sufficient to fulfill enablement requirements; however, this is HEAVILY about a computer game (this is an application for computer game), and Figs.3-4 are MERELY VERY HIGH-LEVEL of representing an action of the game. Independent claim 1 is also amended with a limitation that says a means for scoring points based on an arrival determining means and an arrival time...; however, that arrival determining means already has/includes a capability of determine an arrival time (that fact was already taken into account)